

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,990	0/729,990 12/09/2003		Wade M. Mattar	12780-026001	2769
26171	7590	05/04/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & R			RAEVIS, ROBERT R		
1425 K STREET, N.W. 11TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		20005-3500	2856		
				DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			w				
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	•				
	10/729,990	MATTAR, WADE M					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert R. Raevis	2856					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Peri d for Reply	appears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence addr	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this commandament (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
•	his action is non-final.						
, —							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 are subject to restriction and/or subjection Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exame 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and Applicant may not request that any objection to the subjection to the sub	drawn from consideration. for election requirement. hiner. accepted or b) □ objected to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rection is required if the drawir	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age				
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀 Interview	r Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)				

Application/Control Number: 10/729,990

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 2,17,36 drawn to method/system to calibrate a flow meter with respect to zero, classified in class 73, subclass 1.88.
- II. Claims 6,7,20,34, drawn to method/system to calibrate a meter based upon determination of whether density falls within a range of densities, classified in class 73, subclass 1.01+.
- III. Claims 8,9,21,35, drawn to method/system to calibrate a meter based upon determination of mathematical relationship relating to density, classified in class 73, subclass 1.01+.
- IV. Claims 10-12,22-24,29-32, drawn to method/system to calibrate a meter based upon configuration of flow elements, classified in class 73, subclass 1.01+.
- V. Claims 13,14,25,26,37,38, drawn to method/system to calibrate a meter based upon void volume measurement, classified in class 73, subclass 1.01+.

Claims 4,5,18,19,33 links II, III.

Claim 3 links II,III,IV,V.

Claims 1,15,16,27,28 links I-V.

Note was made of page 6, lines 12-16, which relates Applicant's term "flowmeter" to **any** device that measures flow, density or "other parameters" (italics added, p. 6, line 15) that flows through a conduit. While this definition appears to be against the normal meaning of the word, the claims were evaluated in light of this definition. In addition, use of the phrases "one or more of the following features" (p. 2, line 3, and other locations) and "may include" (p. 3, line 19), along with other portions of the SUMMARY appear to suggest that the various possibilities are subcombinations of a single embodiment, as opposed to a plurality of embodiments.

Inventions I (apparatus claims) and II-V (apparatus claims) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because all limitations II-V were not found in I. The subcombination has separate utility such as an apparatus to calibrate any meter for a mid level value (i.e. non-zero).

Inventions I (method claims) and II-V (method claims) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination

as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because all limitations II-V were not found in I. The subcombination has separate utility such as claimed because all limitations II-V were not found in I. The subcombination has separate utility such as a method to calibrate any meter for a mid level value (i.e. nonzero).

Inventions V (apparatus claims) and II-IV (apparatus claims) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because all limitations II-VI were not found in V. The subcombination has separate utility such as an apparatus to calibrate any meter that measures incompressible liquid.

Inventions V (method claims) and II-IV (method claims) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the

combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because all limitations II-V were not found in V. The subcombination has separate utility such as claimed because all limitations II-V were not found in I. The subcombination has separate utility such as a method to calibrate any meter that measures incompressible liquid.

Inventions IV (apparatus claims) and II,III (apparatus claims) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because all limitations III,III were not found in IV. The subcombination has separate utility such as an apparatus to calibrate any meter with a single configuration system (i.e. one that employs a single tank with a valve).

Inventions IV (method claims) and II,III (method claims) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because all limitations II,III were not found in IV. The subcombination has

separate utility such as claimed because all limitations II,III were not found in IV. The subcombination has separate utility such as a method to calibrate any meter with a single configuration system (i.e. one that employs a single tank with a valve).

Inventions II (apparatus claims) and III (apparatus claims) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because all limitations III were not found in II. The subcombination has separate utility such as an apparatus to calibrate any meter employing a mathematical relationship that provides for a separate calibration value for any individual parameter value.

Inventions II (method claims) and III (method claims) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because all limitations IIV were not found in I. The subcombination has separate utility such as claimed because all limitations III were not found in II. The subcombination has

separate utility such as a method to calibrate any meter employing a mathematical relationship that provides for a separate calibration value for any individual parameter value.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each group is different, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7am to 4pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/729,990 Page 8

Art Unit: 2856

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROUD